

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,345	01/10/2007	Michihiko Namba	296543US0PCT	8956	
	7590 08/27/200 AK MCCLELLAND	8 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S	1940 DUKE STREET		SHAH, MANISH S		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2853		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Interview Summary

Applicant(s)
10/593,345
NAMBA ET AL.
Examiner
Art Unit
Manish S. Shah
2553

· ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Manish S. Shah	2853				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Mr. Manish S. Shah.	(3)					
(2) Mr. Jay E. Rowe.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 19 August 2008.						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ <b>N</b> o.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Independent</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Ishibashi et al. & Nam	ba et al.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed Proposed Amendment</u> , <u>which overcome the present 102 rejection</u> , <u>further new search will be done after filing official response</u> .  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Manish S. Shat/ Primary Evarniner, Art Unit 2853						